

**From:** jlh26@duke.edu  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 1:31 PM  
**Subject:** No deregulation

I oppose the deregulation of media ownership that will be voted on June 2

Media consolidation **is** not beneficial for local job markets, local performing artists, local politics or local networks. To insure diversity in the media, we need to limit the corporate control of our broadcast stations.

Thanks you

Jessica Hardesty  
Duke University

**From:** jlh26@duke.edu  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 1:32 PM  
**Subject:** No deregualtion

I oppose the deregulation of media ownership that will be voted on June 2

Media consolidation is not beneficial for local job markets, local performing artists, local politics or local networks. To insure diversity in the media, we need to limit the corporate control of our broadcast stations.

Thank you

Jessica Hardesty  
Duke University

**From:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**To:** KAQUINN  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 1:33 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: No deregulation

**From:** jlh26@duke.edu  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1.2003 1:33 PM  
**Subject:** No deregulation

I oppose the deregulation of media ownership that will be voted on June 2.

Media consolidation is not beneficial for local job markets, local performing artists, local politics or local networks. To insure diversity in the media, we need to limit the corporate control of our broadcast stations.

Thank you.

Jessica Hardesty  
Duke University

Jessica Hardesty  
Duke University

**From:** jlh26@duke.edu  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 1:33 PM  
**Subject:** No deregulation

I oppose the deregulation of media ownership that will be voted on June 2

Media consolidation is not beneficial for local job markets, local performing artists, local politics or local networks. To insure diversity in the media, we need to limit the corporate control of our broadcast stations.

Thank you

Jessica Hardesty  
Duke University

**From:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**To:** KAQUINN  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:04 **PM**  
**Subject:** Fwd: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

**From:** albanyguildl@earthlink.net  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:04 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not **less**, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in **its** power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Albany Newspaper Guild  
890 Third Street  
Albany, New York 12206



**From:** albanyguildl@earthlink.net  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:04 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Albany Newspaper Guild  
890 Third Street  
Albany, New York 12206

**From:** albanyguild@earthlink.net  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Tue. Apr 1.2003 2:04 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would **do** everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Albany Newspaper Guild  
890 Third Street  
Albany, New York 12206

**From:** Hal Thurber  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:06 PM  
**Subject:** Opposition to Chirman Michael Powell in Deregulation of cross-ownership rules

Dear Sir;

Thank you for standing up for **us**, the citizens of the United States, in opposing the take over by monopolistic barons of the media. Let **us** have a chance in getting all the news not what A SELECT FEW WISH **TO** DOLE OUT.

This war which we are fighting is due to the restricted news given to the people of our country. The majority of our citizens do not know who will benefit from this conflict.

I am grateful for The American Free Press in telling me all the news good and bad. It is this newspaper that I read about you gallant effort in opposing the deregulation.

Thank you again

=====

Hal Thurber  
5560 Coralino Road  
Goleta, CA 93111-1428  
(805)964-7261

---

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Tax Center - File online, calculators, forms, and more  
<http://platinum.yahoo.com>

**From:** CWA  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:10 PM  
**Subject:** Stop the Corporate Grab of the Media

Dear CWA e-Activist,

Today, six conglomerates control most of the media, and they want more. One company could control the TV station, newspaper, radio, cable, and Internet news in your town. Big business is pushing the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to drop all media ownership limits. The media conglomerates are quietly trying to change the rules without public involvement.

Click [here](#) to send an e-mail to tell the FCC to seek more public comment on changes to its media ownership rules.

If you would like to unsubscribe from this e-mail list or update your account information, click [here](http://www.unionvoice.org/cwa_action/smp.tcl?nkey=gx6be2a7k6x) [http://www.unionvoice.org/cwa\\_action/smp.tcl?nkey=gx6be2a7k6x](http://www.unionvoice.org/cwa_action/smp.tcl?nkey=gx6be2a7k6x). If you would like to unsubscribe from ALL e-mail lists associated with the CWA e-Activist Network, you can respond to this email with "REMOVE as the subject.

**From:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**To:** KAQUINN  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:10 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

**From:** PSOLeary@msn.com  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:10 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.



Sincerely,

Patricia OLeary  
6010 Westchester Pk  
College Park, Maryland 20740

**From:** PSOLeary@msn.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:10 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could **also** raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Patricia OLeary  
6010 Westchester Pk  
College Park, Maryland 20740

**From:** PSOLeary@msn.com  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Tue. Apr 1, 2003 2:10 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not **less**, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in **its** power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Patricia OLeary  
6010 Westchester Pk  
College Park, Maryland 20740

**From:** mpattison@catholicnews.com  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:12 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Mark Pattison  
1221 Floral St. NW  
Washington, District of Columbia 20012

**From:** mpattison@catholicnews.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:12 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process **as** open and inclusive as possible.



Sincerely,

Mark Pattson  
1221 Floral St. NW  
Washington, District of Columbia 20012

**From:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**To:** KAQUINN  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:13 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

**From:** mpattison@catholicnews.com  
**To:** KathleenAbernathy  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:13 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Mark Pattison  
1221 Floral St. NW  
Washington, District of Columbia 20012

**From:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**To:** KAQUINN  
**Date:** Tue. Apr 1, 2003 2:14 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

**From:** jsauber@nalc.org  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Tue. Apr 1, 2003 2:14 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Jim Sauber  
117 Indian Spring Dr.  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20901

**From:** jsauber@nalc.org  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Tue. Apr 1, 2003 2:14 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.



Sincerely,

Jim Sauber  
117 Indian Spring Dr.  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20901

**From:** jsauber@nalc.org  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 1, 2003 2:14 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Jim Sauber  
117 Indian Spring Dr.  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20901